

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/842,435	HUGHES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Michael Papalas.

Status of Application: Response to Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2004

Time: 11:40am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11-29

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a telephone conversation with Michael Papalas on 28 September 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 18-29.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)